arXiv:0905.4587v1 [nlin.SI] 28 May 2009

Bi-presymplectic chains of co-rank one and related Liouville integrable systems

Maciej Błaszak[a], Metin Gürses[b], and Kostyantyn Zheltukhin[c]

May 28, 2009

[a]Department of Physics, A. Mickiewicz University, Umultowska 85 , 61-614 Poznan, Poland, e-mail blaszakm@amu.edu.pl
[b]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey, e-mail gurses@fen.bilkent.edu.tr
[c]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Middle East Technical University 06531 Ankara, Turkey, e-mail zheltukh@metu.edu.tr

Abstract

Bi-presymplectic chains of one-forms of co-rank one are considered. The conditions in which such chains represent some Liouville integrable systems and the conditions in which there exist related bi-Hamiltonian chains of vector fields are derived. To present the construction of bi-presymplectic chains, the notion of dual Poisson-presymplectic pair is used and the concept of d-compatibility of Poisson bivectors and d-compatibility of presymplectic forms is introduced. It is shown that bi-presymplectic representation of related flow leads directly to the construction of separation coordinates in purely algorithmic way. As an illustration bi-presymplectic and bi-Hamiltonian chains in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are considered in detail.

Keywords:

1 Introduction

Symplectic structures play an important role in the theory of Hamiltonian dynamical systems. In the case of a non-degenerate Poisson tensor the dual symplectic formulation of the dynamic can always be introduced via the inverse of the Poisson tensor. On the other hand, many dynamical systems admit Hamiltonian representation with degenerate Poisson tensor. For such tensors the notion of dual presymplectic structures was developed [11, 6, 2, 3].

The presymplectic picture is especially interesting for Liouville integrable systems. There is a well developed bi-Hamiltonian theory of such systems, starting from the early work of Gelfand and Dorfman [7]. Particularly interesting are these systems whose construction is based on Poisson pencils of the Kronecker type [8, 9], with polynomial in pencil parameter Casimir functions, together with related separability theory (see [4], [10] and references quoted there in). The important question is whether it is possible to formulate an independent, alternative bi-presymplectic (bi-inverse-Hamiltonian in particular) theory of such systems with related separability theory and what is the way the two theories are related to each other.

The presented paper develops the bi-presymplectic theory of Liouville integrable systems and related separability theory in the case when the co-rank of presymplectic forms is one. The whole formalism is based on the notion of *d-compatibility* of presymplectic forms and *d-compatibility* of Poisson bivectors.

Let us point out that although the case of co-rank one is very special, nevertheless is of particular importance. Actually, the majority of physically interesting Liouville integrable systems from classical mechanics belong to that class of problems. In particular it contains all systems with quadratic in momenta first integrals whose configuration space is flat or of constant curvature. So, it seems that the case of co-rank one is worth separate investigation. On the other hand it is clear that in order to complete the new theory a generalization to higher co-rank is necessary. In fact the work is in progress, although it is a non-trivial task as the systems with higher co-ranks show specific properties not shown in the case of co-rank one.

Another question the reader can ask is about the relevance of the formalism presented. As we know, it is a well established bi-Hamiltonian separability theory, so what can we gain when applying its dual bi-presymplectic (bi-inverse-Hamiltonian in particular) counterpart. The answer is as follows. In the bi-Hamiltonian approach the existence of bi-Hamiltonian representation of a given flow is a necessary condition of separability but not a sufficient one. In order to construct separation coordinates, a Poisson projection of the second Hamiltonian structure onto a symplectic leaf of the first one has to be done. Unfortunately, it is fare from trivial non-algorithmic procedure that should be considered separately from case to case. Moreover, there is no proof that it is always possible. Contrary, once we find a bi-presymplectic representation of a flow considered, the construction of separation coordinates is a fully algorithmic procedure (in a generic case obviously), as the restriction of both presymplectic structures to any leaf of a given foliation is a simple task. For this reason we do hope that the new formalism presented in the paper is relevant for the modern separability theory and hence interesting for the readers.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give some basic information on Poisson tensors, presymplectic two-forms, Hamiltonian and inverse Hamiltonian vector fields and dual Poisson-presymplectic pairs. In sections 3 and 4 the concept of d-compatibility of Poisson bivectors and d-compatibility of closed two-forms is developed. Then, in section 5, the main properties of bi-presymplectic chains of co-rank one are investigated. We present the conditions in which the bi-presymplectic chain is related to some Liouville integrable system and the conditions in which the chain is bi-inverse-Hamiltonian. The conditions in which Hamiltonian vector fields, constructed from a given bi-presymplectic chain, constitute a related bi-Hamiltonian chain are also found. We also illustrate a construction of separation coordinates once a bi-presymplectic chain is given. In last sections 6, 7 and 8, we investigate in details, with many explicit calculations and examples, a special case of bi-presymplectic and bi-Hamiltonian chains in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Finally, let us remark that our treatment in this work is local. Thus, even if it is not explicitly mentioned, we always restrict our considerations to the domain Σ of manifold M where appropriate functions, vector fields and one-forms never vanish and respective Poisson tensors and presymplectic forms are of constant co-rank. In some examples we perform calculations in particular local chart from Σ.

2 Preliminaries

On a manifold M a Poisson tensor is a bivector with vanishing Schouten bracket. A function $c : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is called the *Casimir function* of the Poisson operator Π if $\Pi dc = 0$. A linear combination $\Pi_\lambda = \Pi_1 - \lambda \Pi_0$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$) of two Poisson operators Π_0 and Π_1 is called a *Poisson pencil* if the operator Π_λ is Poisson for any value of the parameter λ. In this case we say that Π_0 and Π_1 are *compatible*. Having a Poisson tensor we can define a Hamiltonian vector fields on M. A vector field X_F related to a function $F \in C^\infty(M)$ by the relation

$$X_F = \Pi dF, \tag{2.1}$$

is called the Hamiltonian vector field with respect to the Poisson operator Π.

Further, a *presymplectic* operator Ω on M defines a two-form that is closed, i.e. $d\Omega = 0$, degenerated in general. Moreover, the kernel of any presymplectic form is always an integrable distribution. A vector field X^F related to a function $F \in C^\infty(M)$ by the relation

$$\Omega X^F = dF \tag{2.2}$$

is called the inverse Hamiltonian vector field with respect to the presymplectic operator Ω.

Definition 1 *A Poisson bivector* Π *and a presymplectic form* Ω *are called compatible if* $\Omega\Pi\Omega$ *is a closed two-form.*

Any non-degenerate closed two form on M is called a *symplectic* form. The inverse of a symplectic form is an *implectic* operator, i.e. invertible Poisson tensor on M and vice versa.

Definition 2 *A pair* (Π, Ω) *is called dual implectic-symplectic pair on* M *if* Π *is non-degenerate Poisson tensor,* Ω *is non-degenerate closed two-form and* $\Omega\Pi = \Pi\Omega = I$.

So, in the non-degenerate case, dual implectic-symplectic pair is a pair of mutually inverse operators on M. Moreover, the Hamiltonian and the inverse Hamiltonian representations are equivalent as for any implectic bivector Π there is a unique dual symplectic form $\Omega = \Pi^{-1}$ and hence a vector field Hamiltonian with respect to Π is an inverse Hamiltonian with respect to Ω.

Let us extend these considerations onto a degenerate case. In order to do it let us generalize the concept of dual pair from [3]. Consider a manifold M of an arbitrary dimension m.

Definition 3 *A pair of tensor fields* (Π, Ω) *on* M *of co-rank* r*, where* Π *is a Poisson tensor and* Ω *is a closed two-form, is called a dual pair (Poisson-presymplectic pair) if there exists* r *one-forms* α_i *and* r *linearly independent vector fields* Z_i*, such that the following conditions are satisfied:*
1. $\alpha_i(Z_i) = \delta_{ij}$, $i = 1, 2 \ldots r$.
2. $\ker \Pi = Sp\{\alpha_i : i = 1, \ldots r\}$.
3. $\ker \Omega = Sp\{Z_i : i = 1, \ldots r\}$.
4. The following partition of unity holds on TM*, respectively on* T^*M

$$I = \Pi\Omega + \sum_{i=1}^{r} Z_i \otimes \alpha_i, \qquad I = \Omega\Pi + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i \otimes Z_i. \tag{2.3}$$

Contrary to the non-degenerated case, for a given Poisson tensor Π the choice of its dual is not unique. Also for a given presymplectic form Ω the choice of dual Poisson tensor is not unique. The details are given in the next section. For the degenerate case the Hamiltonian and the inverse Hamiltonian vector fields are defined in the same way as for the non-degenerate case. But for degenerate structures the notion of Hamiltonian and inverse Hamiltonian vector fields do not coincide. For a degenerate dual pair it is possible to find a Hamiltonian vector field that is not inverse Hamiltonian and an inverse Hamiltonian vector field that is not Hamiltonian. Actually, assume that (Π, Ω) is a dual pair, $X_F = \Pi dF$ is a Hamiltonian vector field and $dF = \Omega X^F$ is an inverse Hamiltonian one-form, where X^F is an inverse Hamiltonian vector field. Having applied Ω to both sides of Hamiltonian vector field, Π to both sides of inverse Hamiltonian one-form and using the decomposition (2.3) we get

$$dF = \Omega(X_F) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} Z_i(F)\alpha_i, \qquad X_F = X^F - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i(X^F)Z_i. \tag{2.4}$$

It means that an inverse Hamiltonian vector field X^F is simultaneously a Hamiltonian vector field X_F, i.e. $X^F = X_F$, if dF is annihilated by $\ker(\Omega)$ and X^F is annihilated by $\ker(\Pi)$.

Finally, for a dual pair (Π, Ω), the following important relations hold

$$[Z_i, Z_j] = 0, \quad L_{X_F}\Pi = 0, \quad L_{Z_i}\Pi = 0, \quad L_{X^F}\Omega = 0, \quad L_{Z_i}\Omega = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where L_X is the Lie-derivative operator in the direction of vector field X and $[.\,,.]$ is a commutator.

3 D-compatibility for non-degenerate case

In the following section we introduce a notion of d-compatibility when a dual pair is implectic-symplectic one, i.e. when it is of co-rank zero. Let M be a manifold of even dimension $m = 2n$.

Definition 4 *We say that a closed two-form* Ω_1 *is d-compatible with a symplectic form* Ω_0 *if* $\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0$ *is a Poisson tensor and* $\Pi_0 = \Omega_0^{-1}$ *is dual to* Ω_0.

Definition 5 *We say that a Poisson tensor* Π_1 *is d-compatible with an implectic tensor* Π_0 *if* $\Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0$ *is closed and* $\Omega_0 = \Pi_0^{-1}$ *is dual to* Π_0.

Now, the following theorem relates d-compatible Poisson structures, of which one is implectic, and d-compatible closed two-forms, of which one is symplectic.

Lemma 6

(i) Let an implectic tensor Π_0 *and a symplectic form* Ω_0 *be a dual pair. Let a Poisson tensor* Π_1 *be d-compatible with* Π_0*. Then* Ω_0 *and* $\Omega_1 = \Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0$ *are d-compatible closed two-forms.*

(ii) Let an implectic tensor Π_0 *and a symplectic form* Ω_0 *be a dual pair. Let a closed two-form* Ω_1 *be d-compatible with* Ω_0*. Then* Π_0 *and* $\Pi_1 = \Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0$ *are d-compatible Poisson tensors.*

Proof. We have $\Pi_0\Omega_0 = \Omega_0\Pi_0 = I$.
(i) The form $\Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0$ is closed since (Π_0, Π_1) are d-compatible. The forms (Ω_0, Ω_1) are d-compatible as the tensor

$$\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0 = \Pi_0\Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0\Pi_0 = \Pi_1$$

is a Poisson tensor.
(ii) The tensor Π_1 is Poisson since (Ω_0, Ω_1) are d-compatible. The Poisson tensors (Π_0, Π_1) are d-compatible as the form

$$\Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0 = \Omega_0\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0\Omega_0 = \Omega_1$$

is closed. □

What is important, in the case considered the notions of d-compatibility and compatibility of Poisson tensors are equivalent. Actually, one can show (see for example [5]) that if $\Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0$ is closed (which means d-compatibility of $\Pi_0 = \Omega_0^{-1}$ and Π_1), then Π_0 and Π_1 are compatible and vice versa, if Π_0 and Π_1 are compatible, then $\Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0$ is closed and hence Π_0 and Π_1 are d-compatible [2].

4 D-compatibility for degenerate case

Let us extend the notion of d-compatibility onto the degenerate case.

Definition 7 *A closed two-form Ω_0 is d-compatible with a closed two-form Ω_1 if there exists a Poisson tensor Π_0, dual to Ω_0, such that $\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0$ is Poisson. Then we say that Ω_1 is d-compatible with Ω_0 with respect to Π_0.*

Definition 8 *A Poisson tensor Π_1 is d-compatible with a Poisson tensor Π_0 if there exists a presymplectic form Ω_0, dual to Π_0, such that $\Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0$ is closed. Then we say that Π_1 is d-compatible with Π_0 with respect to Ω_0.*

In the rest of the paper we restrict our considerations to the simplest case, when dual pair considered is of co-rank one and our manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is of odd dimension $\dim \mathcal{M} = m = 2n+1$.

As was mentioned in the previous section, a presymplectic form dual to a given Poisson tensor is not unique. The set of all presymplectic forms dual to Π is parametrized by an arbitrary differentiable function on $\mathcal{M}$. Moreover, as Π is Poisson then an arbitrary element of its one-dimensional kernel has the form $\alpha = \mu dH$, where μ is an arbitrary differentiable function on $\mathcal{M}$ and H is a Casimir function of Π.

Lemma 9 *Let Π be a fixed Poisson tensor and Ω be a dual presympectic form. Assume that $\alpha = \mu dH \in \ker \Pi$, $Z \in \ker \Omega$ and $\alpha(Z) = 1$. A presymplectic form Ω' is dual to Π if and only if*

$$\Omega' = \Omega + dH \wedge dF, \tag{4.1}$$

where F is an arbitrary differentiable function on $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. First observe that $Z' = Z + \frac{1}{\mu}\Pi dF$ is an element of $\ker \Omega'$ and that $\mu Z'(H) = \mu Z(H) = 1$. Then,

$$\Pi\Omega' = \Pi\Omega - \Pi dF \otimes dH = I - \mu Z \otimes dH - \Pi dF \otimes dH = I - \mu Z' \otimes dH,$$

so Ω' is dual to Π.
Let Ω and Ω' be presymplectic forms dual to Π. Let $Z' \in \ker \Omega'$ and $\mu Z(H) = \mu Z'(H) = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi\Omega &= I - \mu Z \otimes dH. \\ \Pi\Omega' &= I - \mu Z' \otimes dH. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Multiplying (4.2) by Ω we get

$$\Omega\Pi\Omega' = \Omega - \mu\Omega(Z') \otimes dH.$$

Then, using the partition of unity, we find

$$(I - \mu dH \otimes Z)\Omega' = \Omega - \mu\Omega(Z') \otimes dH,$$

and

$$\Omega' - \Omega = -\mu dH \otimes \Omega'(Z) - \mu\Omega(Z') \otimes dH.$$

Since $\Omega' - \Omega$ is closed form we have

$$\mu\Omega(Z') = -\mu\Omega'(Z) = dF - Z(F)\alpha$$

and hence (4.1). □

We also have a freedom in the choice of a Poisson tensor dual to a given two-form. The set of all Poisson tensors dual to Ω is parametrized by an arbitrary vector field K which is both Hamiltonian and inverse-Hamiltonian with respect to a dual pair.

Lemma 10 *Let Ω be a fixed presymplectic form and Π be a dual Poisson tensor. Assume that $Z \in \ker \Omega$, $\alpha \in \ker \Pi$ and $\alpha(Z) = 1$. Let K be a vector field such that*

$$K = \Pi dF, \quad dF = \Omega K \quad \Rightarrow \quad Z(F) = 0, \quad K(\alpha) = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

for some function F. Then, a Poisson tensor Π' is dual to Ω if and only if it has a form

$$\Pi' = \Pi + Z \wedge K. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. First we show that Π' is Poisson. Indeed consider a Schouten bracket

$$[\Pi', \Pi']_S = -Z \wedge L_K \Pi + K \wedge L_Z \Pi - 2K \wedge [Z, K] \wedge Z.$$

Since $L_K \Pi = 0$, $L_Z \Pi = 0$ and $[Z, K] = 0$, we have $[\Pi', \Pi']_S = 0$. Let $\alpha = \mu dH$, then observe that $\alpha' \in \ker \Pi'$ takes the form $\alpha' = \mu dH' = \mu dH + dF$. Moreover, $\mu Z(H) = \mu Z(H') = 1$ and

$$\Pi' \Omega = \Pi \Omega - Z \otimes \Omega K = I - \mu Z \otimes dH - Z \otimes dF = I - \mu Z \otimes dH',$$

so Π' is dual to Ω.

Let Π and Π' be Poisson tensors dual to Ω. Let $\mu dH \in \ker \Pi$, $\mu dH' \in \ker \Pi'$ and $\mu\, Z(H) = \mu\, Z(H') = 1$. Using the partition of unity we get

$$\Omega \Pi = I - \mu dH \otimes Z$$

and

$$\Omega \Pi' = I - \mu dH' \otimes Z. \tag{4.5}$$

Multiplying equation (4.5) by Π we get

$$\Pi \Omega \Pi' = \Pi - \mu(\Pi dH') \otimes Z$$

and

$$(I - \mu Z \otimes dH)\Pi' = \Pi - \mu(\Pi dH') \otimes Z.$$

Transforming the above equality we find

$$\Pi' = \Pi - \mu Z \otimes \Pi' dH - \mu(\Pi dH') \otimes Z.$$

As Π' is skew-symmetric, we can put $-\mu \Pi' dH = \mu \Pi dH' = K$, so $K = \Pi dF$, $\Omega K = dF$ and hence (4.4). □

Theorem 11 *Let a Poisson tensor Π_0 and a closed two-form Ω_0 form a dual pair. Let $Y_0 \in \ker \Omega_0$, $\mu\, dH_0 \in \ker \Pi_0$ and $\mu Y_0(H_0) = 1$.*

(i) If Π_1 is a Poisson tensor d-compatible with Π_0 with respect to Ω_0, then forms Ω_0 and $\Omega_1 = \Omega_0 \Pi_1 \Omega_0$ are d-compatible.

(ii) If Ω_1 is a closed two-form d-compatible with Ω_0 with respect to Π_0, then Poisson tensors Π_0 and $\Pi_1 = \Pi_0 \Omega_1 \Pi_0$ are d-compatible, provided that

$$\mu \Pi_0 \Omega_1 Y_0 = \Pi_0 dF \tag{4.6}$$

for some function F.

Proof.
(i) Ω_1 is closed as Π_1 is d-compatible with Π_0. Then, $\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0 = \Pi_0\Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0\Pi_0$ is Poisson (as was shown in [2]).

(ii) From the d-compatibility of Ω_0 and Ω_1 it follows that Π_1 is Poisson. Then,

$$\Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0 = \Omega_0\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0\Omega_0 = (I-\mu\, dH_0\otimes Y_0)\Omega_1(I-\mu\, Y_0\otimes dH_0) = \Omega_1+\mu\, dH_0\wedge\Omega_1(Y_0).$$

From the assumption $\Pi_0\Omega_1\mu Y_0 = \Pi_0 dF$ it follows that either

$$\Omega_1(\mu\, Y_0) = dF \quad \text{if} \quad Y_0(F) = 0$$

or

$$\Omega_1(\mu\, Y_0) = dF - \mu\, Y_0(F)dH_0 \quad \text{if} \quad Y_0(F) \neq 0$$

In both cases $\Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0 = \Omega_1 + dH_0 \wedge dF$ is closed. $\square$

Theorem 12 *Let a Poisson tensor Π_0 and a closed two-form Ω_0 form a dual pair. Let $Y_0 \in \ker\Omega_0$, $\mu\, dH_0 \in \ker\Pi_0$ and $\mu Y_0(H_0) = 1$.*

(i) If Π_1 is a Poisson tensor d-compatible with Π_0 with respect to Ω_0 and

$$X = \Pi_1 dH_0 = \Pi_0 dH_1 \tag{4.7}$$

is a bi-Hamiltonian vector field, then Ω_0 and $\Omega_1 = \Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0 + dH_1 \wedge dH_0$ is d-compatible pair of presymplectic forms.

(ii) If Ω_1 is a presymplectic form d-compatible with Ω_0 with respect to Π_0 and

$$\beta = \mu\Omega_0 Y_1 = \mu\, \Omega_1 Y_0 \tag{4.8}$$

is a bi-presymplectic one-form, then Π_0 and $\Pi_1 = \Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0 + X \wedge \mu Y_0$, are d-compatible Poisson tensors if there exist some functions F and G such that

$$\mu\Pi_0\Omega_0 Y_1 = \Pi_0 dF, \quad \mu\Pi_0\Omega_1 Y_1 = \Pi_0 dG, \tag{4.9}$$

where $X = \Pi_0\beta = \Pi_0 dF$.

Proof.
(i) Ω_1 is closed as Π_1 is d-compatible with Π_0. Then, $\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0 = \Pi_0\Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0\Pi_0$ is Poisson (as was shown in [2]).

(ii) From (4.9) it follows that either $Y_0(F) \neq 0$, $Y_0(G) \neq 0$ and

$$\mu\Omega_0 Y_1 = dF - \mu Y_0(F)dH_0, \qquad \mu\Omega_1 Y_1 = dG - \mu Y_0(G)dH_0,$$

$$\mu Y_1 = X + \mu^2 Y_0(F)Y_0,$$

or $Y_0(F) = Y_0(G) = 0$ and

$$\mu Y_1 = X, \quad \mu\Omega_0 Y_1 = \Omega_0 X = dF, \quad \mu\Omega_1 Y_1 = \Omega_1 X = dG.$$

By previous theorem part (ii) the form $\Omega_0\Pi_1\Omega_0 = \Omega_0\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0\Omega_0$ is closed. Let us prove that Π_1 is a Poisson tensor. We show that the Schouten bracket of Π_1 is zero. First observe that

$$[\Pi_1, \Pi_1]_S = 2[\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0, X \wedge \mu Y_0]_S + [X \wedge \mu Y_0, X \wedge \mu Y_0]_S,$$

as by previous theorem $[\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0, \Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0]_S = 0$. Next

$$[\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0, X \wedge \mu Y_0]_S = \mu Y_0 \wedge \Pi_0 d(\Omega_1 X)\Pi_0 - X \wedge \Pi_0 d(\Omega_1 \mu Y_0)\Pi_0$$

and

$$[X \wedge \mu Y_0, X \wedge \mu Y_0]_S = 2X \wedge \mu Y_0 \wedge [\mu Y_0, X].$$

In the case when $\Omega_0 X = dF$ and $\Omega_1 X = dG$ we have $[\mu Y_0, X] = -X(\mu)Y_0$ and the proof is completed. In the second case

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mu Y_0, X] &= [\mu Y_0, \Pi_0\Omega_1\mu Y_0] = L_{\mu Y_0}(\Pi_0\Omega_1)\mu Y_0 = \Pi_0(L_{\mu Y_0}\Omega_1)\mu Y_0 - (\Pi_0 d\mu \wedge Y_0)\beta \\
&= \Pi_0 d(\Omega_1\mu Y_0)\mu Y_0 + \beta(\Pi_0 d\mu)Y_0 = \Pi_0(d\beta)\mu Y_0 + \beta(\Pi_0 d\mu)Y_0 \\
&= -\Pi_0 d(\mu Y_0(F)) + \beta(\Pi_0 d\mu)Y_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\mu\,\Omega_1 Y_1 = \Omega_1 X + \mu Y_0(F)\beta,$$

hence

$$\Omega_1 X = dG - \mu Y_0(F)dF + [\mu Y_0(F)]^2 dH_0 - \mu Y_0(G)dH_0.$$

So,

$$\Pi_0 d(\Omega_1 X)\Pi_0 = -\Pi_0 d(\mu Y_0(F)) \wedge X.$$

Finally

$$\Pi_0 d(\Omega_1\mu Y_0)\Pi_0 = \Pi_0 d\beta\Pi_0 = 0$$

and the proof is completed. □

5 Bi-presymplectic chains

Now we are ready to present the main result of the paper.

Theorem 13 *Assume that on $\mathcal{M}$ we have a bi-presymplectic chain of one-forms*

$$\beta_i = \mu\Omega_0 Y_i = \mu\Omega_1 Y_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \tag{5.1}$$

with d-compatible pair (Ω_0, Ω_1) with respect to some Π_0, which starts with a kernel vector field Y_0 of Ω_0 and terminates with a kernel vector field Y_n of Ω_1, where μ is an arbitrary function. Then

(i)

$$\Omega_0(Y_i, Y_j) = \Omega_1(Y_i, Y_j) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \tag{5.2}$$

Moreover, let us assume that

$$\Pi_0\beta_i = X_i = \Pi_0 dH_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \tag{5.3}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i &= dH_i - \mu Y_0(H_i) dH_0, \\ \mu Y_i &= X_i + \mu^2 Y_i(H_0) Y_0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where $\Pi_0 dH_0 = 0$. Then,

(ii)

$$\Pi_0(dH_i, dH_j) = 0 \quad [X_i, X_j] = 0 \tag{5.5}$$

and equation (5.1) defines a Liouville integrable system.

Additionally, if $Y_i(H_0) = Y_0(H_i)$, then

(iii) Hamiltonian vector fields X_i (5.3) form a bi-Hamiltonian chain

$$X_i = \Pi_0 dH_i = \Pi_1 dH_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \tag{5.6}$$

where $\Pi_1 = \Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0 + X_1 \wedge \mu Y_0$. The chain starts with H_0, a Casimir of Π_0, and terminates with H_n, a Casimir of Π_1.

Proof.
(i) From (5.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0(Y_i, Y_j) &= \Omega_0(Y_{i-1}, Y_{j+1}) \\ \Omega_1(Y_i, Y_j) &= \Omega_0(Y_{i+1}, Y_{j-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then (5.2) follows from

$$\Omega_0(Y_i, Y_0) = 0 \quad \Omega_1(Y_i, Y_n) = 0.$$

(ii) From properties of dual pair (Π_0, Ω_0), if $X_i = \Pi_0 dH_i$ then

$$\Pi_0(dH_i, dH_j) = \Omega_0(X_i, X_j).$$

On the other hand as $X_i = \mu Y_i - \alpha_i Y_0$ it follows that

$$\Omega_0(X_i, X_j) = \Omega_0(Y_i, Y_j).$$

(iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} X_i = \Pi_0 dH_i = \mu \Pi_0 \Omega_1 Y_{i-1} = \Pi_0 \Omega_1 (X_{i-1} + \mu^2 Y_0(H_{i-1}) Y_0) = \\ \Pi_0 \Omega_1 \Pi_0 dH_{i-1} + \mu Y_0(H_{i-1}) X_1 = \\ (\Pi_0 \Omega_1 \Pi_0 + X_1 \wedge \mu Y_0) dH_{i-1} = \Pi_1 dH_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From the Theorem (12) we know that Π_1 is a Poisson tensor d-compatible with Π_0. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1 dH_n = (\Pi_0 \Omega_1 \Pi_0 + X_1 \wedge \mu Y_0) dH_n = \Pi_0 \Omega_1 X_n + \mu Y_0(H_n) X_1 = \\ \mu\, \Pi_0 \Omega_1 (Y_n - \mu\, Y_0(H_n) Y_0) + \mu\, Y_0(H_n) X_1 = -\mu\, Y_0(H_n) X_1 + \mu\, Y_0(H_n) X_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

A simple example of bi-presymplectic chain and its equivalent bi-Hamiltonian representation was given in [2] where the extended Henon-Heiles system on $\mathbb{R}^5$ was considered. Actually it is the system with Hamiltonians

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2}p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_2^2 + q_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}q_1 q_2^2 - c q_1,$$

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{2}q_2 p_1 p_2 - \frac{1}{2}q_1 p_2^2 + \frac{1}{16}q_2^4 + \frac{1}{4}q_1^2 q_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}c q_2^2, \tag{5.7}$$

where (q, p) are canonical coordinates and c is a Casimir coordinate. We will come back to this example in the end of this section.

Note that the Theorem 13 holds in an important special case when (5.1) is *bi-inverse-Hamiltonian*, i.e. $\beta_i = dH_i$, $Y_0(H_i) = 0$, $i = 1, ..., n$. Obviously it does not have a bi-Hamiltonian counterpart until $\gamma_i \equiv Y_i(H_0) \neq 0$, but has equivalent quasi-bi-Hamiltonian representation on $2n$ dimensional manifold M. Indeed, as $\beta_i = dH_i$ then

$$\Pi_0 dH_i = \Pi_0 \Omega_1 \mu Y_{i-1} = \Pi_0 \Omega_1 (X_{i-1} + \gamma_i \mu^2 Y_0) = \Pi_0 \Omega_1 \Pi_0 dH_{i-1} + \gamma_i \Pi_0 dH_1.$$

Notice that both Poisson structures Π_0 and $\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0$ share the same Casimir H_0 and all Hamiltonians H_i are independent of the Casimir coordinate $H_0 = c$, so the quasi-bi-Hamiltonian dynamics can be restricted immediately to any common leaf M of dimension $2n$

$$\pi_0 dH_i = \pi_1 dH_{i-1} + \gamma_i \pi_0 dH_1, \qquad i = 1, ..., n, \tag{5.8}$$

where

$$\pi_0 = \Pi_0|_M, \quad \pi_1 = (\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0)|_M$$

are restrictions of respective Poisson structures to M. Hence we deal with a Stäckel system whose separation coordinates are eigenvalues of the recursion operator $N = \pi_1\pi_0^{-1}$ [12], provided that N has n distinct and functionally independent eigenvalues at any point of M, i.e. we are in a generic case.

The advantage of bi-inverse-Hamiltonian representation when compared to bi-Hamiltonian ones is that the existence of the first guarantees that the related Liouville integrable system is separable and the construction of separation coordinates is purely algorithmic (in a generic case), while the bi-Hamiltonian representation does not guarantee the existence of quasi-bi-Hamiltonian representation and hence separability of related system. Moreover, the projection of the second Poisson structure onto the symplectic foliation of the first one, in order to construct a quasi-bi-Hamiltonian representation, is far from being a trivial non-algorithmic procedure.

Let us illustrate the case on the example of the Henon-Heiles system on $\mathbb{R}^4$ given by two constants of motion

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2}p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_2^2 + q_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}q_1 q_2^2, \;\; H_2 = \frac{1}{2}q_2 p_1 p_2 - \frac{1}{2}q_1 p_2^2 + \frac{1}{16}q_2^4 + \frac{1}{4}q_1^2 q_2^2. \tag{5.9}$$

On $\mathbb{R}^5$ differentials dH_1 and dH_2 have bi-inverse-Hamiltonian representation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 Y_0 &= 0 \\ \Omega_0 Y_1 &= dH_1 = \Omega_1 Y_0 \\ \Omega_0 Y_2 &= dH_2 = \Omega_1 Y_1 \\ 0 &= \Omega_1 Y_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = 1$, vector fields Y_i are

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y_0 = (0,0,0,0,1)^T \\
&Y_1 = X_1 + Y_1(H_0)Y_0 = (p_1, p_2, -3q_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}q_2^2, -q_1q_2, -q_1)^T \\
&Y_2 = X_2 + Y_2(H_0)Y_0 = (\frac{1}{2}q_2p_2, \frac{1}{2}q_2p_1 - q_1p_1, \frac{1}{2}p_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}q_1q_2^2, \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}p_1p_2 - \frac{1}{4}q_2^3 - \frac{1}{2}q_1^2q_2, -\frac{1}{4}q_2^2)^T
\end{aligned}$$

and presymplectic forms

$$\Omega_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Omega_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{2}p_2 & -q_1 & -\frac{1}{2}q_2 & 3q_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}q_2^2 \\ \frac{1}{2}p_2 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}q_2 & 0 & q_1q_2 \\ q_1 & \frac{1}{2}q_2 & 0 & 0 & p_1 \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p_2 \\ -3q_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}q_2^2 & -q_1q_2 & -p_1 & -p_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

are d-compatible with respect to the canonical Poisson tensor dual to Ω_0 one. The chain starts with a kernel vector field Y_0 of Ω_0 and terminates with a kernel vector field Y_2 of Ω_1. On $\mathbb{R}^4$ we have

$$\omega_0 = \Omega_0|_{\mathbb{R}^4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\omega_1 = \Omega_1|_{\mathbb{R}^4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{2}p_2 & -q_1 & -\frac{1}{2}q_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}p_2 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}q_2 & 0 \\ q_1 & \frac{1}{2}q_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}q_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the quasi-bi-Hamiltonian representation takes the form (5.8), where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_0 &= \Pi_0|_{\mathbb{R}^4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \omega_0^{-1}, \\
\pi_1 &= \Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0|_{\mathbb{R}^4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & q_1 & \frac{1}{2}q_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}q_2 & 0 \\ -q_1 & -\frac{1}{2}q_2 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}p_2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}q_2 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}p_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \pi_0\omega_1\pi_0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\gamma_1 = -q_1$ and $\gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{4}q_2^2$. Separation coordinates (λ_1, λ_2), which are eigenvalues of the recursion operator $N = \pi_1\pi_0^{-1} = \omega_0^{-1}\omega_1$, are related to (q_1, q_2) coordinates by the following point transformation

$$
q_1 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \quad \frac{1}{4}q_2^2 = -\lambda_1\lambda_2.
$$

Obviously, Hamiltonians (5.9) do not form a related bi-Hamiltonian chain contrary to Hamiltonians (5.7).

6 Poisson and presymplectic structures in $\mathbb{R}^3$

In this section we consider the Poisson and presymplectic structures in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In this case we have a convenient description of the Poisson tensors and presymplectic forms and can obtain simple conditions for compatibility. In $\mathbb{R}^3$ all Poisson tensors are described by the following theorem [1].

Theorem 14 *Any Poisson tensor* Π *in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, *except at some irregular points, has the form*

$$
\Pi^{ij} = \mu\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_k H. \tag{6.1}
$$

Here μ *and* H *are some differentiable functions in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *and* ϵ^{ijk} *is a Levi-Civita symbol.*

Note that for the above Poisson tensor we have $\Pi dH = 0$ that is the kernel of Π is spanned by the form dH. To have consistency we chose the function μ in (6.1) the same as the one used in (5.1). The compatible Poisson tensors in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are characterize by the following theorem [1].

Theorem 15 *Let a Poisson tensors* Π_0 *and* Π_1 *be given by* $(\Pi_0)^{ij} = \mu_0 \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k H_0$ *and* $(\Pi_1)^{ij} = \mu_1 \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k H_1$, *where* μ_0, μ_1 *and* H_0, H_1 *are some differentiable functions. Then* Π_0 *and* Π_1 *are compatible if and only if there exist a differentiable function* $\Phi(H_0, H_1)$ *such that*

$$\mu_1 = \mu_0 \frac{\partial_{H_1} \Phi}{\partial_{H_0} \Phi} \tag{6.2}$$

provided that $\partial_{H_1} \Phi = \partial \Phi / \partial H_1 \neq 0$ *and* $\partial_{H_0} \Phi = \partial \Phi / \partial H_0 \neq 0$.

For example, from the above theorem it follows that a Poisson tensor Π_0, given by μ and a function H_0, and a Poisson tensor Π_1, given by $-\mu$ and a function H_1, are compatible. One should take $\Phi = H_0 - H_1$. The presymplectic forms in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are described by the following lemma.

Lemma 16 *Any closed two-form* Ω *in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *has the form*

$$\Omega_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} Y^k, \tag{6.3}$$

where $Y = (Y^1, Y^2, Y^3)^T$ *is a divergence free vector*

$$\nabla \cdot Y = \partial_i Y^i = 0. \tag{6.4}$$

Note that for the above presymplectic form we have $\Omega Y = 0$, that is the kernel of Ω is spanned by the vector Y. Next let us consider a dual pair.

Lemma 17 *Consider a Poisson tensor* Π, $\Pi^{ij} = \mu \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k H$, *and a presymplectic form* Ω, $\Omega_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} Y^k$. *Then* (Π, Ω) *is a dual pair if and only if*

$$\mu Y(H) = \mu Y^i \partial_i H = 1. \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. The form Ω is dual to the Poisson tensor Π if the following partition of the unit operator holds

$$I = \Pi \Omega + \mu Y \otimes dH.$$

The above equality is equivalent to (6.5). $\square$

We have a simple condition for compatibility of a Poisson tensor and a presymplectic form.

Lemma 18 *The Poisson tensors* Π, *given by* $(\Pi)^{ij} = \mu\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_k H$, *and the presymplectic form* Ω, *given by* $(\Omega)_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}Y^k$, *are compatible if*

$$Y(\mu[Y(H)]) = Y^i\partial_i\left(\mu Y(H)\right) = 0. \tag{6.6}$$

Proof. We have

$$\Omega\Pi\Omega = \mu Y(H)\Omega.$$

The above form is given in terms of a vector $Y(H)Y$. It is closed if

$$\nabla\cdot(\mu Y(H)Y) \equiv Y(\mu Y(H)) = 0.$$

Since $\nabla\cdot Y = 0$ the above equation is equivalent to (6.6). $\Box$

As a corollary of the previous lemma we have the condition for the d-compatibility of two Poisson tensors.

Lemma 19 *Consider a dual pair* (Π_0, Ω_0) *where the Poisson tensor* Π_0 *is given by* $(\Pi_0)^{ij} = \mu\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_k H_0$ *and the presymplectic form* Ω_0 *is given by* $(\Omega_0)_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}Y_0^k$. *Then the Poisson tensor* Π_1, $(\Pi_1)^{ij} = -\mu\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_k H_1$, *is d-compatible with the Poisson tensor* Π_0 *if*

$$Y_0(\mu Y_0(H_1)) = 0. \tag{6.7}$$

The condition for d-compatibility of two presimplectic forms in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 20 *Consider a dual pair* (Π_0, Ω_0) *where the Poisson tensor* Π_0 *is given by* $(\Pi_0)^{ij} = \mu\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_k H_0$ *and the presymplectic form* Ω_0 *is given by* $(\Omega_0)_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}Y_0^k$. *Then the presymplectic form* Ω_1, $(\Omega_1)_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}Y_1^k$, *is d-compatible with the presymplectic form* Ω_0 *if*

$$Y_1(H_0) \neq 0. \tag{6.8}$$

Proof. We have

$$\Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0 = \mu Y_1(H_0)\Pi_0$$

Since Π_0 is a Poisson tensor, the above tensor is a Poisson tensor if $Y_1(H_0) \neq 0$. $\Box$

It turns out that in $\mathbb{R}^3$ any two forms and any two Poisson tensors are d-compatible.

Lemma 21 *Let Ω_0, Ω_1 be two presimplectic forms in $\mathbb{R}^3$, given by $(\Omega_0)_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} Y_0^k$ and $(\Omega_1)_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} Y_1^k$. Then Ω_0 and Ω_1 are d-compatible presimplectic forms.*

Proof. Take a function H_0 such that $Y_0(H_0) \neq 0$ and $Y_1(H_0) \neq 0$. Define a Poisson tensor Π_0 by $\Pi_0^{ij} = [Y_0(H_0)]^{-1} \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k H_0$. Then by lemma 17, Π_0 and Ω_0 are dual and by lemma 20, the forms Ω_0 and Ω_1 are d-compatible. $\Box$

Lemma 22 *Let Π_0, Π_1 be two Poisson tensors in $\mathbb{R}^3$, given by $(\Pi_0)^{ij} = \mu\, \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k H_0$ and $(\Pi_1)^{ij} = -\mu\, \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k H_1$. Then Π_0 and Π_1 are d-compatible Poisson tensors.*

Proof. By Darboux theorem we can find the coordinates (t_1, t_2, t_3) such that Π_1 is given by $\mu_1 = 1$ and $H_1 = t_1$. We can construct a closed form Ω_0, $(\Omega_0)_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} Y_0^k$, dual to Π_0 and such that $\partial_1 Y_0^1 = 0$. Then

$$Y_0(\mu_1 Y_0(H_1)) = Y_0(Y_0^1) = 0,$$

so Ω_0 and Π_1 are compatible. That is Π_0 and Π_1 are d-compatible. Such a form Ω_0 can be constructed as follows. Consider the coordinate change

$$u_1 = t_1,\ u_2 = t_2,\ u_3 = H_0(t_1, t_2, t_3).$$

In these coordinates Π_0 is given by some $\tilde{\mu}_0$ and $\tilde{H}_0 = u_3$. Note that if a form is given by vector $\tilde{Y} = (A, B, C)^t$ in the (u_1, u_2, u_3) coordinates then it is given by a vector $Y = (A\partial_3 H_0, B\partial_3 H_0, C - A\partial_1 H_0 - B\partial_2 H_0)$ in the (t_1, t_2, t_3) coordinates. We construct Ω_0 in the (u_1, u_2, u_3) coordinates in terms of the vector $\tilde{Y}_0 = (A, B, C)^t$. First we choose $C = (\tilde{\mu})^{-1}$, so $\tilde{\mu} Y_0(\tilde{H}_0) = 1$. Hence Π_0 and Ω_0 are dual. Then we choose A such that $A\partial_3 H_0$ does not depend on t_1 in the (t_1, t_2, t_3) coordinates, so Π_1 and Ω_0 are compatible. Then we choose B such that $\partial_1 A + \partial_2 B + \partial_3 C = 0$, so Ω_0 is closed. $\Box$

7 Bi-presymplectic chains in $\mathbb{R}^3$

Consider closed two-forms Ω_0 and Ω_1 in some open domain of $\mathbb{R}^3$, given in terms of vectors Y_0 and Y_1 by

$$\Omega_{0,ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} Y_0^k \quad \text{where} \quad \partial_k Y_0^k = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3$$

and

$$\Omega_{1,ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} Y_1^k \quad \text{where} \quad \partial_k Y_1^k = 0, \quad i,j = 1,2,3.$$

By lemma 21 there exists a Poisson tensor Π_0 such that Π_0 and Ω_0 are dual and Ω_0 and Ω_1 are d-compatible with respect to Π_0. We can choose a function H_0 such that $\mu\, Y_0(H_0) = 1$ and $Y_1(H_0) \neq 0$, so $\Pi_0^{ij} = \mu\, \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k H_0$. It is easy to see that in $\mathbb{R}^3$ any two presymplectic forms Ω_0 and Ω_1 give a bi-presymplectic chain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 Y_0 &= 0 \\ \mu\, \Omega_0 Y_1 &= \beta = \mu\, \Omega_1 Y_0 \\ 0 &= \Omega_1 Y_1. \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

Then, we can consider a vector field X

$$X = \Pi_0 \beta. \tag{7.2}$$

To construct bi-Hamiltonian representation of the above chain we use theorem 13. Let the chain (7.1) be such that

$$\Pi_0 \beta = X = \Pi_0 dH_1 \tag{7.3}$$

and hence

$$\beta = dH_1 - \mu Y_0(H_1) dH_0. \tag{7.4}$$

Then, by theorem 13 (ii) the vector field X defines a Liouville integrable system.

Let us obtain some relations that we will need later. Combining (7.1) and (7.4) we have

$$\mu\, \epsilon_{ijk} Y_0^k Y_1^j = H_{1,i} - \mu\, Y_0(H_1) H_{0,i}, \quad i = 1,2,3$$

that gives

$$Y_0(H_1) - \mu\, Y_0(H_1) Y_0(H_0) = 0$$

and

$$Y_1(H_1) = \mu\, Y_0(H_1) Y_1(H_0).$$

Using duality of Ω_0 and Π_0 we have

$$\mu Y_1^n = \mu^2 Y_1(H_0) Y_0^n + X^n, \quad n = 1,2,3. \tag{7.5}$$

Note that if $Y_0(H_1) = 0$ then β is closed and $Y_1(H_1) = 0$. So,

$$Y_0(H_1) = Y_1(H_1) = 0. \tag{7.6}$$

Following [1] every Hamiltonian system in $\mathbb{R}^3$ has a bi-Hamiltonian representation. Thus the vector field $X = \Pi_0 dH_1$ can be also written as $X = \bar{\Pi}_1 dH_0$, where $(\bar{\Pi}_1)^{ij} = -\mu\, \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_k H_1$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem 13 also gives the bi-Hamiltonian representation of the vector field X. Let us show that these two representations coincide. Let $Y_0(H_1) = Y_1(H_0)$ then by theorem 13 (iii) we can define

$$\Pi_1 = \Pi_0\Omega_1\Pi_0 + \mu\, X \wedge Y_0 \tag{7.7}$$

that is

$$\Pi_1^{ij} = -\mu^2\, Y_1(H_0)\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_k H_0 + \mu\,(X^i Y_0^j - X^j Y_0^i), \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3$$

Since $X^i = \epsilon^{ijk}\Pi_0^k H_{1,k}$, we can put

$$X^i Y_0^j - X^j Y_0^i = \epsilon^{ijk} W_k, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3$$

So,

$$\Pi_1^{ij} = -\mu^2\, Y_1(H_0)\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_k H_0 + \mu\, \epsilon^{ijk} W_k = \epsilon^{ijk}(-\mu^2\, Y_1(H_0)\partial_k H_0 + \mu\, W_k),$$

for all $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Since Π_1 is a Poisson tensor and dH_1 belongs to the kernel of Π_1 we have

$$-\mu^2\, Y_1(H_0)\partial_k H_0 + \mu\, W_k = -\mu\partial_k H_1 \tag{7.8}$$

where μ is an arbitrary function. For W_k we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_k &= \epsilon^{ijk} X^i Y_0^k = \mu\, \epsilon^{ijk}\epsilon^{imn} H_{0,n} H_{1,m} Y_0^j = \mu\,(\delta_i^n \delta_n^k - \delta_m^k \delta_j^n) H_{0,n} H_{1,m} Y_0^j \\ &= \mu\, Y_0(H_1) H_{0,k} - \mu\, Y_0(H_0) H_{1,k}, \ k = 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned}$$

where $H_{0,k} = \partial_k\, H_0$ and $H_{1,k} = \partial_k\, H_1$. Using the above equality for W_k in (7.8) we get

$$-\mu^2\, Y_1(H_0)\partial_k H_0 + \mu^2\, Y_0(H_1) H_{0,k} - \mu\, H_{1,k} = -\mu H_{1,k}, \ k = 1, 2, 3$$

which gives

$$Y_1(H_0) = Y_0(H_1). \tag{7.9}$$

Eqs.(7.9) and (7.5) are the only constraints on Y_0 and Y_1. We conclude that any presymplectic chain which fulfills the condition (7.3) leads to a bi-Hamiltonian chain.

As the next example shows, there exist presymplectic chains that do not admit a dual bi-Hamiltonian representation.

Example 1. Consider closed two-forms Ω_0 and Ω_1 in $\mathbb{R}^3$, given by

$$\Omega_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c & -b \\ -c & 0 & a \\ b & -a & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where a, b and c are the functions of x_1, x_2 and x_3. Their kernels are spanned by vectors $Y_0 = (0,0,1)^t$ and $Y_1 = (a,b,c)^t$ respectively. Since $\nabla \cdot Y_1 = 0$ then we have

$$\partial_1 a + \partial_2 b + \partial_3 c = 0.$$

We take a Poisson tensor Π_0 in the form

$$\Pi_0 = \mu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H_{0,3} & -H_{0,2} \\ -H_{0,3} & 0 & H_{0,1} \\ H_{0,2} & -H_{0,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where μ and H_0 are arbitrary functions of x^1, x^2 and x^3. If $\mu H_{0,3} = 1$, then one can easily show that Π_0 and Ω_0 are dual and Ω_0 and Ω_1 are d-compatible with respect to Π_0. The forms Ω_0 and Ω_1 make a presymplectic chain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 Y_0 &= 0 \\ \mu\,\Omega_0 Y_1 &= \beta = \mu\,\Omega_1 Y_0 \\ 0 &= \Omega_1 Y_1, \end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

where $\beta = \mu\,(b, -a, 0)^t$. Considering a vector field X

$$X = \Pi_0 \beta = \mu\,(a, b, 0)^t.$$

We find that an additional condition

$$X = \Pi_0 dH_1,$$

gives

$$a = H_{0,3}\,H_{1,2} - H_{0,2}\,H_{1,3}, \tag{7.11}$$

$$b = -H_{0,3}\,H_{1,1} + H_{0,1}\,H_{1,3}, \tag{7.12}$$

$$\mu\,(a\,H_{0,1} + b\,H_{0,2}) = H_{0,1}\,H_{1,2} - H_{0,2}\,H_{1,1}, \tag{7.13}$$

and from the constraint (7.9) we get

$$H_{1,3} = a\, H_{0,1} + b\, H_{0,2} + c\, H_{0,3}. \tag{7.14}$$

Using a and b from the equations (7.11) and (7.12) respectively we show that (7.13) is identically satisfied. Using $\mu H_{0,3} = 1$ and the identity (7.13) in (7.14) we get

$$c = \mu H_{1,3} - H_{0,1}\, H_{1,2} + H_{0,2}\, H_{1,1}. \tag{7.15}$$

As a summary we are left with the equations (7.11), (7.12), (7.15) for a,b, and c and the duality condition $\mu H_{0,3}$=1. When we use a, b and c in (7.10) we obtain that

$$(\mu H_{1,3})_{,3} = 0. \tag{7.16}$$

This is nothing else but the d-compatibility condition (6.7), i.e., $Y_0(\mu Y_0(H_1)) = 0$, of the Poisson tensors Π_0 and Π_1. Eq. (7.16) means that

$$H_1 = h_1(x^1, x^2)\, H_0 + h_2(x^1, x^2) \tag{7.17}$$

where h_1 and h_2 are arbitrary functions of x^1 and x^2. Using (7.17) we get

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (h_{1,2}\, H_0 + h_{2,2})\, H_{0,3}, & (7.18)\\ b &= -(h_{1,1}\, H_0 + h_{2,1})\, H_{0,3}, & (7.19)\\ c &= h_1 - (h_{1,2}\, H_0 + h_{2,2})\, H_{0,1} + (h_{1,1}\, H_0 + h_{2,1})\, H_{0,2}. & (7.20) \end{aligned}$$

The above equations might be considered as differential equations to determine H_0, h_1 and h_2 with no conditions on a,b and c. When we use (7.18) and (7.19) we find that

$$H_0 = -\frac{ah_{2,1} + bh_{2,2}}{ah_{1,1} + bh_{1,2}}, \quad H_{0,3} = \frac{ah_{1,1} + bh_{1,2}}{h_{1,1}h_{2,2} - h_{1,2}h_{2,1}} \tag{7.21}$$

These equations put a constraint on the x^3 dependence on the given functions a,b and c. Hence we may have a presymplectic structure with the conditions (7.21) not satisfied and thus obtain a presymplectic chain with no dual bi-Hamiltonian chain.

8 Bi-Hamiltonian chains in $\mathbb{R}^3$

Suppose we have two compatible Poisson structures Π_0 and Π_1 in $\mathbb{R}^3$, given by $(\Pi_0)_{ij} = \mu\, \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_k H_0$ and $(\Pi_1)_{ij} = -\mu\, \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_k H_1$, $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$. The Casimirs of the Π_0 and Π_1 are dH_0 and dH_1 respectively. Then we can consider a bi-Hamiltonian chain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0 dH_0 &= 0 \\ \Pi_0 dH_1 &= X = \Pi_1 dH_0 \\ 0 &= \Pi_1 dH_1. \end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

Using theorem 11 we can construct a corresponding bi-presymplectic chain. To construct the bi-presymplectic chain we have to find a closed form Ω_0 dual to the Poisson structure Π_0 and compatible with the Poisson structure Π_1. By lemma 22 such a form always exists. Having such a form Ω_0 the construction of the bi-presymplectic chain is straightforward. We start with $(\Omega_0)_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ijk}\, Y_0^k,\ i,j = 1,2,3$ where

$$\nabla \cdot Y_0 = 0, \quad \mu\, Y_0(H_0) = 1, \tag{8.2}$$

$$Y_0(\mu\, Y_0(H_1)) = 0 \tag{8.3}$$

and Ω_1 is found from $Y_1 = \mu\, Y_1(H_0) Y_0 + \frac{1}{\mu}\, X$. The equation (8.3) is obtained from the divergence free condition of $Y_1 = \mu\, Y_1(H_0) Y_0 + \frac{1}{\mu}\, X$.

Example 2 Consider the Lorentz system [1]

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{d}{dt} x_1 &= \tfrac{1}{2} x_2 \\ \tfrac{d}{dt} x_2 &= -x_1 x_3 \\ \tfrac{d}{dt} x_3 &= x_1 x_2 \end{aligned}$$

It admits a bi-Hamiltonian representation (8.1) with $H_0 = \frac{1}{4}(x_3 - x_1^2)$, $\mu = 1$ and $H_1 = x_2^2 + x_3^2$. The form Ω_0 dual to Π_0 and compatible with Π_1 is given by

$$\Omega_0 = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma & -\beta \\ -\gamma & 0 & \alpha \\ b & -\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Pi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/4 & 0 \\ -1/4 & 0 & -x_1/2 \\ 0 & x_1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the vector $Y_0 = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)^t$. The conditions on α, β and γ are

$$\nabla \cdot Y_0 = \partial_1 \alpha + \partial_2 \beta + \partial_3 \gamma = 0, \quad Y_0(H_0) = \frac{1}{4}\gamma - \frac{1}{2} x_1 \alpha = 1.$$

One can find Ω_1 having determined Y_1 from (7.5)

$$Y_1 = (\frac{1}{2} x_2 + 2\alpha\eta, -x_1\, x_3 + 2\beta\eta, x_1 x_2 + 2\gamma\eta)$$

where $\eta = \frac{1}{2} Y_0(H_1) = \beta x_2 + \gamma x_3$. We have an additional constraint on α, β and γ coming from $\nabla \cdot Y_1 = 0$ which reads

$$Y_0(\eta) = \alpha \partial_1 \eta + \beta \partial_2 \eta + \gamma \partial_3 \eta = 0$$

A simple solution for the above presymplectic structures is given as $\alpha = -2/x_1,\ \beta = -2x_2/x_1^2, \gamma = 0$.

It is also possible to start with a dual pair and construct a second d-compatible Poisson structure with given properties. The following example gives hints how to solve equations arising from d-compatible Poisson structures.

Example 3. We take a dual pair (Π_0, Ω_0) and construct a Poisson tensor Π_1, compatible with a given pair, such that Π_1 is non linear in x_3.

Let Π_0 be given in canonical coordinates. We take the form Ω_0 as follows

$$\Omega_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & f_1 \\ 1 & 0 & f_2 \\ -f_1 & -f_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Pi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $f_1 = \partial_1 f$ and $f_2 = \partial_2 f$ for some function $f(x_1, x_2)$. Note that $(\Omega_0)_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ijk} Y_0^i$ where $Y_0 = (-f_2, f_1, 1)$ and $H_0 = x_3$. It is easy that $\nabla \cdot Y_0 = 0$, so by lemma 16 Ω_0 is closed and equality (6.5) holds, so by lemma 17 is dual to Π_0. We construct a Poisson tensor Π_1 compatible with Ω_0. Let Π_1 be given by $(\Pi_1)_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_k \chi$. Note that Π_1 is compatible with Π_0. By the lemma 19 Ω_0 and Π_1 are compatible if equality (6.7) holds. Consider

$$Y_0 \nabla \chi = -f_2 \partial_1 \chi + f_2 \partial_2 \chi + \partial_3 \chi.$$

Let us perform the coordinate transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ \eta &= \beta(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ \zeta &= \gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 \chi &= \partial_\xi \chi \partial_1 \alpha + \partial_\eta \chi \partial_1 \beta + \partial_\zeta \chi \partial_1 \gamma \\ \partial_2 \chi &= \partial_\chi \partial_2 \alpha + \partial_\eta \chi \partial_2 \beta + \partial_\zeta \chi \partial_2 \gamma \\ \partial_3 \chi &= \partial_\xi \chi \partial_3 \alpha + \partial_\eta \chi \partial_3 \beta + \partial_\zeta \chi \partial_3 \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$Y_0 \cdot \nabla \chi = (-f_2 \partial_1 \alpha + f_1 \partial_2 \alpha + \partial_3 \alpha) \partial_\xi \chi + (-f_2 \partial_1 \beta + f_1 \partial_2 \beta + \partial_3 \beta) \partial_\eta \chi + \\ (-f_2 \partial_1 \gamma + f_1 \partial_2 \gamma + \partial_3 \gamma) \partial_\zeta \chi$$

To simplify the above expression we choose β, γ, α such that

$$\begin{array}{c} -f_2\partial_1\beta + f_1\partial_2\beta + \partial_3\beta = 0 \\ -f_2\partial_1\gamma + f_1\partial_2\gamma + \partial_3\gamma = 0 \\ (-f_2\partial_1\alpha + f_1\partial_2\alpha + \partial_3\alpha) = 1, \end{array}$$

hence

$$Y_0 \cdot \nabla\chi = \partial_\xi \chi.$$

Using the above technique we can solve $Y_0(H_0) = 1$ and in particular $Y_0(Y_0(H_1)) = 0$ very easily. The equality (6.5) holds if $H_0 = \xi$. Then, $Y_0(Y_0(H_1)) = H_{1,\xi\xi} = 0$ and

$$H_1 = A_1(\zeta, \eta)\xi + A_2(\zeta, \eta),$$

where A_1, A_2 are some arbitrary functions of ζ, η. As an application let

$$\eta = x_1 x_2, \quad \zeta = x_3 - \ln x_2, \quad \xi = x_3$$

and $f = x_1 x_2 = \eta$. Then, $H_0 = x_3$ and

$$H_1 = A_1(x_3 - \ln x_2, x_1 x_2)x_3 + A_2(x_3 - \ln x_2, x_1 x_2),$$

where A and B are functions of $(x_3 - \ln x_2)$ and $x_1\, x_2$.

Acknowledgement

M.B. was partially supported by Polish MNiSW research grant no. N N202 404933 and by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK). This work was partially supported by the Turkish Academy of Sciences and by the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey.

References

[1] Ay A., Gürses M. and Zheltukhin K., *Hamiltonian equations in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, J. Math. Phys. **44**, no. 12 (2003) 5688–5705

[2] Błaszak M., *Presymplectic representation of bi-Hamiltonian chains*, J. Phys. A **37**, no. 50 (2004) 11971–11988

[3] Błaszak M. and Marciniak K., *Dirac reduction of dual Poisson-presymplectic pairs*, J. Phys. A **37**, no. 19 (2004) 5173–5187

[4] Błaszak M, *Degenerate Poisson Pencils on Curves: New Separability Theory*, J. Nonl. Math.Phys. **7** (2000) 213

[5] Błaszak M., *Multi-Hamiltonian Theory of Dynamical Systems*, Springer-Verlag Berlin 1998

[6] Dubrovin B. A., Giordano M., Marmo G. and Simoni A., *Poisson brackets on presymplectic manifolds, Int. J. Mod. Phys.* ***8*** *(1993) 3747*

[7] Gel'fand I. M. and Dorfman I., Hamiltonian operators and algebraic structures related to them, Funkts. Anal. Prilozh., 13:4 (1979), 1330

[8] Gel'fand I. M. and Zakharevich I., On the local geometry of a bi-Hamiltonian structure, in: The Gel'fand Mathematical Seminars 1990-1992, eds. Corwin L. et. al., Birkhäuser, Boston 1993, p.51

[9] Gel'fand I. M. and Zakharevich I., Webs, Lenard schemes, and the local geometry of bi-Hamiltonian Toda and Lax structures, Selecta Math. (N.S) ***6*** *(2000) 131*

[10] Falqui G. and Pedroni M., Separation of variables for bi-Hamiltonian systems, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. ***6*** *(2003) 139*

[11] Libermann P. and Marle C., Symplectic geometry and analytical Mechanics, D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1987

[12] Magri F., Eight lectures on Integrable Systems, in: Integrability of Nonlinear Systems (Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach et al. eds.), Lecture notes in Physics ***495****, Springer Verlag 1997*